

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
2 United States Attorney
3 CAMERON L. SCHROEDER
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, Criminal Division
6 NISHA CHANDRAN (Cal. Bar No. 325345)
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 Cyber and Intellectual Property Crimes Section
9 MAXWELL COLL (Cal. Bar No. 312651)
10 Assistant United States Attorney
11 National Cryptocurrency Enforcement Team
12 Computer Crime and Intellectual Property Section
13 1500 United States Courthouse
14 312 North Spring Street
15 Los Angeles, California 90012
16 Telephone: (213) 894-2429/1785
17 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
18 E-mail: nisha.chandran@usdoj.gov
19 maxwell.coll@usdoj.gov

20
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff
22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

24 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

25 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

26 No. 2:24-00311-RGK

27 Plaintiff,

28 [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

v.

29 DAREN LI,

30 aka "Devon,"
31 aka "KG-PERFECT,"
32 aka "RF," and

33 YICHENG ZHANG,

34 aka "Eason,"

35 **[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 4/15/2025**
9:00 a.m.

36 Defendants.

37
38 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
39 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
40 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
41 parties in this matter on June 17, 2024. The Court hereby finds that
42 the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this
43 Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial

1 date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
2 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
5 defendant in a speedy trial; ~~(ii) failure to grant the continuance
6 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
7 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
8 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
9 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
10 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
11 diligence.~~

12 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13 1. The trial in this matter is continued from July 9, 2024 to
14 April 15, 2025, 9:00 a.m.

15 2. The time period of June 4, 2024 to April 15, 2025,
16 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
17 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
18 and (B)(iv).

19 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
20 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
21 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
22 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
2 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
3 commence.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 6/20/2024

6 DATE



HONORABLE R. GARY KLAUSNER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10 Presented by:

11 /s/ Maxwell Coll
12 MAXWELL COLL
13 NISHA CHANDRAN
14 Assistant United States Attorneys

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28